

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,904	06/20/2003	Robert Sigurd Nelson	9224 EXAMINER	
75	90 12/19/2003			
ROBERT SIGURD NELSON			KIKNADZE, IRAKLI	
2922 Upshur St San Diego, CA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
2 2.1080, 0.1	7		2882	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,904	NELSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Irakli Kiknadze	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this considered timely.	nmunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del>_</del> ·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PT0	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in Application of the control of the	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119( st sentence of the specification o ovisional application has been red	e) (to a provisional a r in an Application D ceived.	Data Sheet.
reference was included in the first sentence of the			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Claims 1-25, drawn to a radiation detection array, classified in class 250, subclass 397.
- Claims 26-32, drawn to a collimator system, classified in class 359, subclass 641.
- III. Claims 33-40, drawn to an X-ray radiographic imaging system comprising a movable source, classified in class 378, subclass 196.
- IV. Claims 41-48, drawn to an X-ray optic system comprising a plurality of radiation sources with plural anodes, classified in class 378, subclass 124.
- V. Claims 49-52, drawn to mamography, classified in class 378, subclass 37.
- VI. Claims 53-56, drawn to solid-state image detector, classified in class 378, subclass 98.8.
- VII. Claims 57-59, drawn to calibration of a radiation system, classified in class 378, subclass 207.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are

Art Unit: 2882

shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a radiation detector array. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a radiation detector array. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a radiation detector array. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions VI and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a radiation detector array. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions VII and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a radiation detector array. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has

Art Unit: 2882

separate utility such as an electronically configurable collimator system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as an electronically configurable collimator system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as an electronically configurable collimator system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as an electronically configurable collimator system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as an X-ray imaging system, comprising a rotateble gantry including an adjustable arm. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if

Art Unit: 2882

they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as an X-ray imaging system, comprising a rotateble gantry including an adjustable arm. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as an X-ray imaging system, comprising a rotateble gantry including an adjustable arm. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as an X-ray optic system for generating focused radiation. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions IV and VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as an X-ray optic system for generating focused radiation. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions VI and VII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention VI has separate

Application/Control Number: 10/600,904

Art Unit: 2882

utility such as a method for tuning radiation detector apparatus. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions V and I, II, III, IV, VI, VII are related as combination and subcombinations. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it doesn't require a plurality of detector arrays, an electronically configurable collimator system, a rotatable gantry including an adjustable arm, a plurality of radiation sources capable of generating radiation, tuning a radiation detection apparatus, and calibration of a radiation detection system. The subcombination has separate utility such as a method for mammography imaging.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Robert Sigurd Nelson on December 4, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,904

Art Unit: 2882

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Irakli Kiknadze whose telephone number is (703)

305-6464. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ed Glick can be reached on (703) 308-4858. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

Irakli Kiknadze December 4, 2003

IK

Chang [ Church

Page 7